UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,070	12/22/2005	Shinichiro Tanaka	334559.00017	5651
27160 7590 10/01/2007 PATENT ADMINISTRATOR			EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP			KIANNI, KAVEH C	
1025 THOMAS JEFFERSON STREET, N.W. EAST LOBBY: SUITE 700		CT, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5201			2883	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/562,070	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
**	Kianni C. Kaveh	2883				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 L	Docombor 2005					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice under						
·		5. 11, 100 0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	•	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	~					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Burea	•	Treceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		t received.				
	. 1					
	W.					
Attachment(s)	P					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	٠ المحمد الم	Summany (DTO 412)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- I) claim 1 is directed to the said orientation controlling means is positioned to be approximately symmetrical with respect to a line by using the said scanning line and the said signal line as a boundary such that the position of the orientation controlling means in relation to pixels adjacent to each other along the scanning line differs from its position in relation to pixels adjacent to each other along the signal line
- II) claim 6 directed to first substrate on which pixel electrodes are arranged in a matrix state, and where liquid crystal molecules are vertically aligned when no electric field is applied to the liquid crystal layer, and tilt to be aligned in directions controlled by the said orientation controlling means when electric field is applied to the liquid crystal layer, where the arrangement of the orientation controlling means in two types of pixels used as unit pixels is linearly symmetrical and approximately the same number of the two types of pixels are irregularly arrayed.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,070

Art Unit: 2883

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

I)claims 1-5 and II) claims 6-10

The following claim(s) are generic: None.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: as stated above each invention is has limitation(s) that is directed toward an invention that would require a different search that that of other group inventions and because each of the above inventions defining an invention that is distinct that that of the other and requiring a different search.

A telephone call was made to Applicant on 9/12/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/562,070

Art Unit: 2883

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kianni C. Kaveh whose telephone number is 571-272-2417. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-19:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 25, 2007'

R. CYRUS KIANNI PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINED